



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_6738
Title of the Manuscript:	OPTIMIZING SEED POTATO (<i>Solanum tuberosum</i> L.) TUBER YIELD AND SIZE DISTRIBUTION THROUGH INTEGRATED IRRIGATION WATER, NITROGEN AND PHOSPHORUS MINERAL NUTRIENT APPLICATION
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	-Generally, the abstract of the article is copied without changes. -So that corrections of spelling and writing. -Element names must be fully once, and you use it briefly in other episodes. -References should be corrected according to a certain order. -More discussions were needed in this article. -There were many English problems in this article, please check up.	Grammatical mistakes have been addressed. Element names have been mentioned fully once and mentioned briefly thereafter except at beginning of text. References done as per author guidelines
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	-Introduction is stronger and more relevant. -In introduction, using of past research. -Materials and methods in the abstract, is a better explanation	Generally agreed
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		